

Tetrahedron Letters, Vol. 35, No. 22, pp. 3703-3706, 1994 **Elsevier Science Ltd** Printed in Great Britain 0040-4039/94 \$7.00+0.00

0040-4039(94)E0646-F

Exclusive 6-Endo Radical Cyclizations of α-Silyl Radicals Derived **From Carbohydrate Allylic Silylethers**

P. Mayon and Y. Chapleur

Laboratoire de Méthodologie et Synthèse Enantiospécifique de Biomolécules, associé au CNRS Institut Nancéien de Chimie Moléculaire, Université de Nancy I, B.P. 239, F-54506 Vandoeuvre-les-Nancy (France)

Abstract: The cyclization of 2-sila-3-oxa-5-hexen-1-yl radicals in conformationaly biased sugar ring systems depends on the stereochemistry of the starting allylic alcohol and proceeds exclusively via the 6endo mode with a preference for the formation of cis fused-rings.

Radical cyclization has proven to be of considerable synthetic value for the construction of complex structures.¹ In particular, carbon-centered α -silylradicals have been used in synthesis because subsequent oxidation of the silicon-carbon bond² allows the regio-and stereo-selective introduction of a hydroxymethyl group. Using this method chain extension of allylic alcohols is possible either at the β -olefinic carbon (6-endo mode),³ or at the α olefinic carbon $(5$ -exo mode), 4.5 with formation of new chiral centres, provided that the cyclization process (6endo vs. 5-endo mode) can be controlled. This control could be achieved by the use of conformationaly defined systems in which one of the cyclization mode will be favored by steric, stereochemical and stereoelectronic factors.⁶

In connection with our programme of multichiral arrays synthesis from sugar templates,7 we investigated the cyclization of 2-sila-3-oxa-5-hexen-1-yl radicals derived from allylic alcohols 3 and 4. These compounds are readily prepared from enones 1 and 2, obtained through our recently described aldolisation-elimination sequence, 8 followed by stereospecific reduction under Luche conditions. 9 Silylation of 3 and 4 with bromomethyl dimethyl chlorosilane gave the expected bromomethylsilyl ethers 5 and 6 in good yields.

Scheme : i: NaBH4, CcCl3, EtOH, 73 %; ii: BrCH₂SiMe₂Cl, NEt₃, CH₂Cl₂, 75-90%; iii: from 4: PPh3, DEAD, CICH₂COOH, toluene, 64%; iv: NH₃, MeOH, quant.

Radicals were generated by either the catalytic¹⁰ or the stoichiometric tin method.¹¹ Surprisingly, the catalytic method gave mainly the reduction products 13 and 15. However, the stoichiometric method allowed the formation of the cyclized product 14 (entry 1) from 5. A low yield of 16 was obtained from 6 under the same conditions (entry 2). In both cases, a single isomer having a trans ring junction was obtained as seen from ¹H nmr : $J_{1,2} = 3Hz$ and $J_{2,3} = 9Hz$. Configuration at C-7 was established on the basis of the large $J_{2,7}$ value (10-13Hz). The configuration at C-7 was the result of attack by the radical from the top face of the double bond, whereas the *rrans ring* junction arose **from the capture of hydrogen by the intermediate radical at** C-2 from the less hindered β face of the sugar ring system.

Entry	Starting Compound	Products (yield %)a	Coupling constants (Hz)
1	Ph ОМө . Me	Ph Ph: Me ₃ SiO ₂ OMe OMe Me	$14: J_{1,2} = 3$, $J_{2,3} = 9$, $J_{3,4} = 9$, $J_{2,7} = 13$
	5	13(30) 14 (48)	
$\overline{2}$	Ph- o. OMe	Ph⊂ Ph^{\sim} O. Me ₃ SiO Ē. OMe Et	$16: J_{1,2} = 3.5,$ $J_{2,3} = 10$, $J_{3,4} = 9$, $J_{2,7} = 10$
	$\boldsymbol{6}$	15(65) 16(20)	
$\overline{\mathbf{3}}$	Ph ⁻ Ο- OMo Et	Ph. E۱ OMo	$17: J_{1,2} = 3.5,$ $J_{2,3} = 2$, $J_{3,4} = 2.5$, $J_{2,7} = 2.5$
	11	17 (92)	
4	Ph ÒМе	Ph ÒМе	18 : $J_{1,2} = 3.5$, $J_{2,3} = 3$, $J_{3,4} = 5$, $J_{2,7} = 2$ $19: J_{1,2} = 3,$ $J_{2,3} = 12$, $J_{3,4} = 10$, $J_{2,7} = 3$
	12S	18(44) 19(15)	
$\mathbf{5}$	Ph. OMe Br	Ph. oме Me ₃ SiO ² Et	$21: J_{1,2} = 4,$ $J_{2,3} = 5$, $J_{3,4} = 5$, $J_{2,7} = 3$
	12R	20(20) 21(74)	

Table: Radical cyclisation of 2-sila-3-oxa-5-hexen-l yl

a) Yields refer to pure isolated compounds

If the stoichiometric method was mote efficient than the catalytic method, the extent **of reduction of the** carbon-bromine bond was rather high and this fact could be due to stereochemical factors.^{3d} In order to check this point, we prepared the corresponding axial alcohols from 3 by Mitsunobu inversion. As already mentioned

for hindered alcohols, the reaction was sluggish and strongly dependent on the acidic component.12 Chloroacctic acid gave no reaction with 3 but gave satisfiyng results with 4.12b The expected ester 7 (37%) was formed together with esters (27%) resulting from a SN' substitution.¹³ The two epimers 8S and 8R in a 3/1 ratio, readily separated by column chromatography, were isolated. Saponification of these **isters gave alcohols** 9 and 1OS and 1OR respectively which 'were silylated as above to provide 11, 12S and 12R. Cyclization of the radical derived from **11 was** totally stereoselective and gave the *cis* derivative 17 in excellent yield. From a synthetic point of view, the radical cyclization of the allylic bromosilanes 12 should be of interest as a method for the stereoselective formation of a C-C **bond** at position 3 of a sugar. Two different behaviours were discerned (entries 4 and 5) using compounds 12. The radical cyclization of **12s** gave a 75/25 mixture of 18 and 19 in 60% yield. The $J_{2,3}$ values (3 Hz in 18 and 12 Hz in 19) confirmed the cis and trans arrangement of the rings respectively. It should be noted that the small J2.7 values **in both 18 and 19 supported** the (S) **configuration at C-7 (compare** with the large $J_{2,7}$ in compound 16). In contrast the radical cyclization of the 12R derivative gave only one *cis* compound 21 ($J_{2,3}$ = 5 Hz), and a small amount of reduced compound 20.

Figure: Possible transition states for radical cyclisation

In all cases, attack of the α -silyl radical on the double bond gave an intermediate radical located at C-2 which reacts with tributyltin hydride from the less hindered β -face. In order to explain the good result obtained with axially oriented α -silylradical (entry 3) versus equatorially oriented ones (entries 1, 2) possible transition states (t.s.) are considered on the Figure. The radical derived from 5 and 6 attacks the β -face of the double bond via **the boat t.s. A,** in which a destabilizing interaction may exist between H-4 and a methyl group on the silicon atom. This steric interaction may retard cyclization, thus favouring hydrogen capture by the intermediate α -silylradical, explaining the formation of reduced compounds 13 and **15.** In contrast, the **radical derived from 11** likely reacts via a chair t.s. **B** without any destabilizing interactions. This interesting stereochemical effect is also operating in the cyclization of radicals derived from compounds 12. In **the case of 12S, the formation of 18** likely proceeds via the chair **t.s. C in which the** Et **group occupies an equatorial orientation and the 1,3** allylic strain is minimal.14 Thus, t.s. C **could be favoured over the boat t.s. D, involved** in the formation of 19. In the case of 12R the chair t.s. E leads to the *cis* compound 21 whereas the boat t.s. **F which should led** to the *trans* analogue of 21 is strongly disfavoured because of 1,3-diaxial interactions.

In conclusion, the cyclization of 2-sila-3-oxa-5-hexen-1yl radicals derived from conformationaly restricted exo- or endo-cyclic allylic alcohols proceed exclusively via the 6-endo mode. These results point out **the** *importance of* ster&&aem&try *of* the **starting aicdwI** *for both RXO and endocydk aliylic a&oh&* which may be taken into account in synthesis. This radical cyclization, should allow the introduction of a hydromethyl chain at **C-3 or stereoselective** chain extension at C-2, **and may find useful applications in the field of complex sugar synthesis.**

References and Notes

- 1. Julia, M. Acc. Chem. Res. 1971, 4, 386-392; Giese, B. Radical in Organic Synthesis: Formation of Carbon-Carbon Bonds Pergamon Press: Oxford, 1986; Porter, N. A.; Giese, B.; Curran, D. P. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **1991**, 24, 296-304; Jssperae, C. P.; Curran, D. P,; Fevig. T. L. *Chem. Rev. 1991, PI.* 1237-1286.
- 2. Tamao, K.; Ishida, N.; Kumada, M. J. Org. Chem. 1983, 48, 2120-2122.
- 3. **(a)** Wilt. J. W. J. Am. *Chem. Sot. 1981.103,52!51-525%* (b) Koreeda, M.; George, I. *A.J. Am. Chem, Sbc. 1986, 108, 8098-8100; (c) Lee, E. R.; Lakomy, I.; Bigler, P.; Scheffold, R. Helv. Chim. Acta 1991, 74, 146-162; (d)* Koreeda, M.; Visger, D. C. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1992, 33, 6603-6606 and references cited therein.
- *4. Nishiyama,* H.; Kitajima, T.; Matsumoto. M.; Itoh. K. J. Org. *Chem. 1984,49,2298-23OCk !&I& ci.; K&n. M. J. Am. Chem. Sot. 1985,iO7,500-501;* **Stork, 0.; Sofia.** M. J. J. Am. *Chem. Sot. 1986,108.6826-6828; Stork. G.; Sub,* H. S.; Kim, G. 3. *Am. Chem. Sot. 1991,113,7054-7056;* Joumct, M.; Malacria, M. **Tetrahedron** &ett, **1992, 33.1893-1896 and refiznces cited therein.**
- **5. Fof** applications to the carbuhydrate iiefd see: Bonncrt, R. V.; Davies, *M.* J.; Howarth, J.; Jenkins, P. R.; Lawrence. N. J. J. Chem. Soc., Perkin Trans. 1 1992, 27-29; Pedretti, V.; Mallet, J. M.; Sinay, P. Carbohydr. Res. 1993, 244, 247-257. Augustyns, K.; Rozenski, J.; Vanaerschot, A.; Busson, R.; Claes, P.; Herdewijn, P. *Tetrahedron* 1994, 50, *1189-I 198.*
- 6. Spellmeyer, D. C.; Houk, K. N. J. Org. Chem. 1987, 52, 959-974; Beckwith, A. L. J. Chem. Soc. Rev. 1993, 22, 143-*151.*
- *7.* **Mayan,** P.; Euvrard, M.-N.: Moufid. N.; Chaplcur. Y. *J. Chem. Sot., Chem. Commun.* 1994,399-401.
- 8. Chapleur, Y.; Longchambon, F.; Gillier. H. J. *Chem. Sot., Cbem. Commun. 1988.564-566:* **Chapleur, Y.:** Euvrard. M.-N. J. Chem. Soc., Chem. Commun. 1987, 884-886. For the use of these enones as *intermolecular* radical acceptors see: see ref. 7.
- 9. Gemal, A. L.; Luche, 1. L. *J.* Am. *Chem, Sot.* 1981,103,5454-5459.
- 10. Stork, 0.; Sher, P. M. *J. Am. Chem. Sot.* **1986,108,303-304.**
- I I. In a typical **experiment: to** a 0.02 M solution of the bromosilane, in reiluxing benzene containing a catalytic amount of AIBN was added by a motor driven syringe a benzene solution of tributyltinhydride over a period of 3h. After evaporation of the solvent, the crude mixture was carefully chromatographed on silica gel column using ethyl acetatehexane mixtures.
- 12. (a) Dodge, J.A.; Trujillo, J.I.; Presnell, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1994**, 59, 234-236; (b) Saiah, M.; Bessodes, M.; Antonakis, K. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1992,33,4317-4320.**
- **13.** At this stage, the absolute configuration at C-7 of the major compound cannot be ascertained, but it was assumed to be (S) as a result of a SN₂^{*r*} reaction (substitution anti to the leaving group) rather than from a purely SN_I pathway. For a discussion on the substitution of hindered allylic alcohols sec: Farina, V. *Tetrahedron Lett*. 1989, 30, 6645-6648
- *14.* **Hoffmann, R. W.** *Chem. Rev. 1989,89, 184* 1 *1860.*

(Received in France 8 March 1994; accepted 30 March 1994)